

On Mar 7, 2007, at 10:30 AM, Sen George wrote:

Will,

While Senator George is a proponent of alternative energies, we question the ambitious nature of this bill and what it could do in unintended consequences to harm citizens, particularly the poorer ones who may be devastated by steep rate increases.

Would you care to help us study this bill and alternatives in much greater depth? Perhaps together we can find a better way to accelerate energy solutions without doing harm to the individual ratepayer. Let me know, we'll put you to work with others.

One of the obvious gaps in this whole idea is the question as to why this capitol building hasn't been converted to renewable energy as the example. The heating system is a blundering catastrophe. The state can afford to invest in something with, say, a ten year payback period.

Paul Knobel  
Leg. Asst. Sen Gary George